

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/676,844	09/29/2000	Bryan R White	10559-165001/P8249	3643
20985 7	590 10/22/2003	EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 12390 EL CAMINO REAL			MONESTIME, MACKLY	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2676	(2)
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

a	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/676,844	WHITE, BRYAN R			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mackly Monestime	2676			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:					
	SI	MATTHEW C. BELLA JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 No. 13

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached Applicant's arguments filed on August 11, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argue that Fisher et al did not disclosed a memory controller hub and an internal graphics subsystem. Contrary to Applicant's arguments, Fisher et al did disclose a memory controller hub (Fig. 1, Item No. 12), Fisher et al further disclosed that texture mapping hardware susbsystem typically include a local memory cache (col. 1, lines 58-61). Furthermore, Ajanovic et al disclosed a memory controller which includes an internal graphics subsystem adapted to perform graphics operations on data (Fig. Items No. 110, 113; col 3, lines 45-47). Therefore, the rejectio finally stands.